

UNITED STATES LEFARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/967	473		
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICAN	T ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/967,4	173 11/11	/97 HABING	Т

QM12/1105

MARK A KRULL 1705 EAST RIDGE COURT NORTHFIELD MN 55057

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

MINER
s
PAPER NUMBER
12

DATE MAILED:

11/05/99

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
a) is extended to run or continues to run 3 months from	the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for	of the response and also the date for the efee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 10-7-99 has been considered to place the application in condition for allowance:	with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the	he final rejection stands because:
 There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amer presented. 	ndment is necessary and was not earlier
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate appeal. 	erially reducing or simplifying the issues for
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of fine	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:	
• -	
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted the non-allowable claims.	ed in a separately filed amendment cancelling
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment \(\bigcup\) will be entered \(\bigcup\) will not be as follows:	be entered and the status of the claims will
Claims allowed:	
Claims objected to:	
However;	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u> </u>
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not principal nation has been and the	overcome the rejection because The
proper assignment to Steams Technologies, Inc	
 The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good a presented. 	and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
$\hfill\square$ The proposed drawing correction $\hfill\square$ has $\hfill\square$ has not been approved by the examiner.	SEllow
☐ Other	STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332